

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment
Ser. No.: 09/479,548
25 January 2005
Page 2

Amendments to the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

- 1-28. (Canceled)
29. (Previously Presented) A device for hearing evaluation of a subject comprising:
means for repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus;
means for sampling an EEG response to said stimulus; and
means for detecting when non-physiological noise is associated with said EEG response.
30. (Previously Presented) The device according to claim 29, further comprising means for indicating when said non-physiological noise has been detected.
31. (Previously Presented) A device for hearing evaluation of a subject comprising:
means for repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus;
means for sampling an EEG response to said stimulus; and
means for detecting when non-physiological noise is associated with said EEG response, for automatically determining the amount of said

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment
Ser. No.: 09/479,548
25 January 2005
Page 3

non-physiological noise, and for automatically determining when said amount is excessive relative to a threshold.

32. (Previously Presented) The device according to claim 31, wherein said threshold is derived from normative data.
33. (Previously Presented) A device for hearing evaluation of a subject comprising:
means for repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus;
means for sampling an EEG response to said stimulus, said EEG response including a noise component;
means for determining the polarity bias of said noise component; and
means for detecting the degree of polarity bias in said noise component, and for determining when said bias is excessive relative to a threshold.
34. (Previously Presented) The device according to claim 33, wherein said threshold is derived from normative data.
35. (Canceled)
36. (Currently Amended) A device for hearing evaluation of a subject comprising:
means for repeatedly delivering an auditory

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment
Ser. No.: 09/479,548
25 January 2005
Page 4

stimulus;

means for sampling an EEG response to said
stimulus; and
means for detecting the ambient acoustic noise
associated with said EEG response, for
determining the signal energy of said ambient
acoustic noise, and for determining if said
signal energy is excessive relative to a
threshold; The device according to claim 35,
where the means for detecting the ambient
acoustic noise is a microphone.

37. (Previously Presented)

The device according to claim 36, where the means for determining if the signal energy associated with the ambient acoustic noise is excessive relative to a threshold operates by taking samples of the ambient acoustic noise at a time that interferes with the delivery of the stimulus.

38. (Previously Presented)

The device according to claim 37, where the means for determining if the signal energy associated with the ambient acoustic noise is excessive relative to a threshold operates by taking samples of the ambient acoustic noise both before and during the time that the auditory stimulus is delivered.

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment
Ser. No.: 09/479,548
25 January 2005
Page 5

39. (Previously Presented) The device according to claim 38, where the means for determining if the signal energy associated with the ambient acoustic noise is excessive relative to a threshold operates by analyzing a weighted energy sum of said samples.
40. (Previously Presented) A device for hearing evaluation of a subject comprising:
means for repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus;
means for sampling an EEG response to said stimulus, said EEG response including a noise component;
means for detecting the magnitude of said noise component;
means for determining the polarity bias of said noise component;
means for determining when adverse evaluation conditions are present, based upon both said noise magnitude and said noise polarity bias.
41. (Currently Amended) The device according to claim 28, 29, 31, 33, 35 36, or 40, further comprising means for determining the presence of an ABR waveform.
42. (Canceled)

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment
Ser. No.: 09/479,548
25 January 2005
Page 6

43. (Currently Amended)

A method for hearing evaluation of a subject comprising the steps of repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus; measuring the EEG response to said stimulus; detecting the noise associated with said EEG response; automatically detecting the amount of said noise; and automatically determining that said amount is excessive relative to a threshold. The method according to claim 42,] wherein automatically determining that said noise amount is excessive relative to a threshold comprises computing a composite signal noise variance.

44. (Previously Presented)

The method according to claim 43, wherein automatically determining that said noise amount is excessive relative to a threshold further comprises comparing the composite signal noise variance to a predetermined threshold, and determining that the composite signal noise variance is greater than said threshold.

45. (Previously Presented)

The method according to claim 45, further comprising the step of determining if said EEG response contains an ABR waveform.

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment
Ser. No.: 09/479,548
25 January 2005
Page 7

47. (Previously Presented)

A method for hearing evaluation of a subject, comprising the steps of:

repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus; measuring EEG responses to said stimulus, said EEG responses having amplitudes; detecting noise associated with said EEG responses; determining a degree of polarity bias in said noise; and determining when said bias is excessive relative to a threshold.

48. (Previously Presented)

The method according to claim 47, whereby determining when said polarity bias is excessive relative to a threshold comprises:

digitizing said EEG response; transforming said digitized EEG response into a series of binary numbers corresponding to the polarity of the amplitude of said EEG response; transforming said binary numbers into an array of polarity sums; determining the bias in said array of polarity sums; and comparing said bias to a predetermined

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

Ser. No.: 09/479,548

25 January 2005

Page 8

threshold.

49. (Previously Presented)

The method according to claim 47, whereby determining when said polarity bias is excessive relative to a threshold comprises:

determining the difference between the mean and the median amplitude in said EEG responses; and
comparing said difference to a predetermined threshold.

50. (Previously Presented)

The method according to claim 47, further comprising the step of pausing the testing in response to detecting excessive levels of polarity bias in said noise.

51. (Previously Presented)

The method according to claim 47, further comprising the step of determining if said EEG response contains an ABR waveform.

52. (Previously Presented)

A method for hearing evaluation of a subject, comprising the steps of
repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus;
measuring EEG response to said stimulus;
detecting the noise associated with said EEG response;
determining the amount of said noise;
determining the degree of polarity bias in said

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment
Ser. No.: 09/479,548
25 January 2005
Page 9

noise;

determining when adverse evaluation conditions are present, based upon both said noise amount and said noise polarity bias.

53. (Canceled)

54. (Currently Amended)

A method for hearing evaluation of a subject which comprises the steps of repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus to a subject; measuring an EEG response to the stimulus said response having a amplitude polarity at each point in time; digitizing said EEG response; transforming said digitized EEG response into a series of binary numbers corresponding to the polarity of the amplitude of said EEG response; transforming said binary numbers into an array of polarity sums; detecting the noise associated with said EEG response; determining the amount of said noise; automatically detecting when said amount is

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

Ser. No.: 09/479,548

25 January 2005

Page 10

55. (Currently Amended)

excessive relative to a threshold;
accounting for any excessive amounts of said
noise; and
determining if an EEG response contains an
ABR waveform by comparing the array of
polarity sums against normative data. The
method according to claim 53, wherein the step
of accounting for excessive amounts of said
noise comprises pausing the evaluation.
A method for hearing evaluation of a subject
which comprises the steps of
repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus to a
subject;
measuring an EEG response to the stimulus
said response having a amplitude polarity at
each point in time;
digitizing said EEG response;
transforming said digitized EEG response into
a series of binary numbers corresponding to
the polarity of the amplitude of said EEG
response;
detecting the noise associated with said EEG
response;

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

Ser. No.: 09/479,548

25 January 2005

Page 11

determining the amount of said noise;
automatically detecting when said amount is
excessive relative to a threshold;
accounting for any excessive amounts of said
noise; and
determining if an EEG response contains an
ABR waveform by comparing the array of
polarity sums against normative data; ~~The~~
method according to claim 53, wherein the
step of accounting for excessive amounts of
said noise comprises rejecting a portion of said
array of polarity sums.

56. (Previously Presented)

A method of evaluation for hearing loss which
comprises the steps of
repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus to a
subject;
measuring an EEG response to the stimulus
said response having an amplitude polarity at
each point in time;
digitizing said EEG response;
transforming said digitized EEG response into
a series of binary numbers corresponding to
the polarity of the amplitude of said EEG
response;

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

Ser. No.: 09/479,548

25 January 2005

Page 12

transforming said binary numbers into an array of polarity sums;
detecting the noise associated with said EEG response;
detecting the degree of polarity bias in said noise;
determining when said bias is excessive relative to a threshold;
accounting for any excessive bias; and
determining if an EEG response contains an ABR waveform by comparing the array of polarity sums against normative data.

57. (Previously Presented)

The method according to claim 56, wherein the step of accounting for any excessive polarity bias comprises pausing the evaluation.

58. (Previously Presented)

The method according to claim 56, wherein the step of accounting for any excessive polarity bias comprises rejecting a portion of said array of polarity sums.

59. (Canceled)

60. (Currently Amended)

A method for evaluation for hearing loss comprising the steps of repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus to a subject:

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

Ser. No.: 09/479,548

25 January 2005

Page 13

measuring an EEG response to the stimulus;
detecting the ambient acoustic noise associated
with said EEG response;
determining the signal energy of said ambient
acoustic noise; and
determining if said signal energy exceeds a
predetermined threshold; The method
~~according to claim 59, wherein the ambient~~
acoustic noise is sampled both before and
during the time the auditory stimulus is
delivered.

61. (Currently Amended)

A method for evaluation for hearing loss
comprising the steps of
repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus to
a subject;
measuring an EEG response to the stimulus;
detecting the ambient acoustic noise associated
with said EEG response;
determining the signal energy of said ambient
acoustic noise; and
determining if said signal energy exceeds a
predetermined threshold; The method
~~according to claim 59, wherein the ambient~~
acoustic noise is sampled before the auditory

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment
Ser. No.: 09/479,548
25 January 2005
Page 14

stimulus is delivered.

62. (Currently Amended)

A method for evaluation for hearing loss comprising the steps of repeatedly delivering an auditory stimulus to a subject; measuring an EEG response to the stimulus; detecting the ambient acoustic noise associated with said EEG response; determining the signal energy of said ambient acoustic noise; and determining if said signal energy exceeds a predetermined threshold; The method according to claim 59, wherein the ambient acoustic noise is sampled during the time the auditory stimulus is delivered.

63. (Previously Presented)

The method according to claim 60, wherein the samples are taken during three, approximately 20 millisecond windows of time.

64. (Previously Presented)

A system for hearing evaluation of a subject comprising:
a transducer having an audible click output stimulus;
an electrode system adapted to detect an EEG response to said stimulus; and

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

Ser. No.: 09/479,548

25 January 2005

Page 15

a processor, responsive to said EEG response,
having

means for sampling the EEG response;
means for processing the sampled EEG
response and identifying therein a noise
component and an evoked ABR
component; and
means for automatically determining
when said noise component contains a
non-physiological component.

65. (Canceled)